## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/089,027   | 03/26/2002          | William E. Jack      | NEB-166-PUS         | 9409             |
| 28986 7590 02/05/2008<br>HARRIET M. STRIMPEL; NEW ENGLAND BIOLABS, INC.<br>240 COUNTY ROAD |                     |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |                     |                      | HUTSON, RICHARD G   |                  |
| IPSWICH, MA  | VICH, MA 01938-2723 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                     |                      | 1652                |                  |
|  |                     | •                    | · .                 |                  |
|  |                     |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |                     |                      | 02/05/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |
|--|---|---|
|  | 10/089,027  | JACK ET AL.   |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |
|  | Richard G. Hutson   | 1652  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the prov | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status   |   |   |
| <ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 O</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>  | action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pro  |   |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |
| 4) Claim(s) 32-43 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 32-43 are subject to restriction and/or   | wn from consideration.  |   |
| Application Papers   |   | •   |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any accomplicated any accomplicated any accomplicated to the separate drawing sheet(s) including the correct accordance of the separate and the separate drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the separate drawing sheet (s) including the correct and the separate drawing sheet (s) including the correct and the separate drawing sheet (s) including the correct and the separate drawing sheet (s) including the correct and the separate drawing sheet (s) including the correct and the separate drawing sheet (s) including the correct and the separate drawing sheet (s) including the correct and the separate drawing sheet (s) including the correct and the separate drawing sheet (s) including the correct and the separate drawing sheet (s) including the correct and the separate drawing sheet (s) including the correct and the separate drawing sheet (s) including s        | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                        |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicati<br>rity documents have been receive<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | ion No ed in this National Stage  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:  | ate   |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's cancellation of previous claims 2-4, 13-22 and 27-31 and the addition of new claims 32-43, in the paper of 10/31/2007, is acknowledged. Claims 32-43 remain pending and at issue for examination.

As a result of applicants cancellation of the claims and the submission of new claims 32-43, it has been determined that this application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number:

10/089,027 Art Unit: 1652

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Each of those methods comprising the use of a DNA polymerase having a 15 amino-acid motif that is identical to one of SEQ ID NOs: 5-22. Applicant is required to elect a single SEQ ID NO: from the Group of SEQ ID NOs: 5-22.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

The following claim(s) are generic: 32-43

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each of the different 15 amino-acid motifs are structurally different amino acid structures and those methods of use of those DNA polymerase comprising these different motifs do not share unity of invention based upon this different structure.

10/089,027

Art Unit: 1652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard G. Hutson whose telephone number is 571-272-0930. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kichard G Hutson, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1652

rgh 2/1/2008